

KAMALA D. HARRIS  
Attorney General of California  
JONATHAN L. WOLFF  
Senior Assistant Attorney General  
JAY C. RUSSELL  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General  
DANIELLE F. O'BANNON  
Deputy Attorney General  
SCOTT J. FEUDALE  
Deputy Attorney General  
State Bar No. 242671  
455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000  
San Francisco, CA 94102-7004  
Telephone: (415) 703-5871  
Fax: (415) 703-5843  
E-mail: Scott.Feudale@doj.ca.gov  
*Attorneys for Defendants*

SANFORD JAY ROSEN  
ROSEN, BIEN, & GALVAN, LLP  
315 Montgomery Street, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
Telephone: (415) 433-6380  
Fax: (415) 433-7104  
Email: srosen@rbg-law.com  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
OAKLAND DIVISION

**JOHN ARMSTRONG, et al.,**

Plaintiffs,

**v.**

**EDMUND G. BROWN JR. et. al.,**

Defendants.

Case No. C 94 2307 CW

**STIPULATION AND PROPOSED  
ORDER EXTENDING THE BRIEFING  
SCHEDULE REGARDING PLAINTIFFS'  
MOTION TO COMPEL  
COMPENSATION**

On January 3, 2011, the Court granted Defendants' request for a sixty-day extension of time to file their opposition to Plaintiffs' motion to compel compensation so that they may conduct discovery. (Docket No. 1817) Defendants' opposition is currently due on March 8, 2011 and Plaintiffs' reply on March 21, 2011. (*Id.*) After the filing of Plaintiffs' reply, the motion shall be submitted on the papers. (*Id.*)

During the month of February, Defendants filed a motion to compel further discovery responses and Plaintiffs moved for a protective order prohibiting further discovery. (Docket Nos.

1 1840, 1844.) On March 1, 2011, a case management conference was held. During the  
2 conference, the Court stated that it was denying Defendants' motion to compel discovery and  
3 granting Plaintiffs' motion for a protective order. The Court further stated that it would allow  
4 Plaintiffs leave to supplement the declarations supporting their fees motion, and that if Plaintiffs  
5 filed such supplemental declarations they might provide Defendants a reasonable extension of  
6 time to review the new evidence and supplement their opposition accordingly. Following the  
7 conference, the Court issued an order requiring Plaintiffs to file and serve any supplemental  
8 declarations no later than March 4, 2011. (Docket No. 1847.)

9 Plaintiffs intend to supplement their evidence in accordance with the Court's order. In  
10 light of their decision, the parties agree to extend the briefing schedule as follows: Defendants'  
11 opposition shall be filed on or before March 22, 2011. Plaintiffs' reply, if any, shall be filed on or  
12 before April 11, 2011. Following the expiration of deadline for the filing of Plaintiffs' reply, the  
13 motion will be deemed submitted and shall be decided on the papers.

14 IT IS SO STIPULATED:  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 Dated: March 4, 2011

KAMALA D. HARRIS  
Attorney General of California

2  
3 /s/  
4 SCOTT J. FEUDALE  
5 Deputy Attorney General  
*Attorneys for Defendants*


6 Dated: March 3, 2011

ROSEN, BIEN, & GALVAN, LLP

7  
8 By: \_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
9 SANFORD J. ROSEN  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

10 IT IS SO ORDERED:

11 Dated: March 4, 2011

12   
13 THE HONORABLE CLAUDIA WILKEN  
14 United States District Judge

15 CF1997CS0005  
16 40486463.doc  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28